

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/661,145	09/12/2003	Jeffrey George	60518-162	7734	
27305 HOWARD & I	7590 01/17/2007	EXAMINER			
HOWARD & HOWARD ATTORNEYS, P.C. THE PINEHURST OFFICE CENTER, SUITE #101			PANDYA, SUNIT		
	WARD AVENUE D HILLS, MI 48304-515	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		3714	•	
		·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/17/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-	_
H	

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)
	10/661,145	GEORGE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sunit Pandya	3714

	Sunit Pandya	3714					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 12/22/2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or expected. 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, affitice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply most of the final rejection. Individual services with a services of the final rejection. Individual services with a services of the date set forthe ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C ust be filed within one in the final rejection, who date of the final rejecti	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following ichever is later. In				
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		136(a) and the appropria	te extension fee				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecalise				
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beaution appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).	✓ill mat be entared or b) ☐i	Il he entered and an	valanation of				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-62.		ii be entered and an e	жріапаціон от				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	t before as an the date of filing a N	ation of Appool will be	at he entered				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affidat	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.				
 11.	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:							
•							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: All of the claims were responded to in the previous office action, dated 8/1/2006. The amendments to the claims have been noted however the issues raised in the independent claims 1 and 32, by the new proposed amendments clearly would require a new search and therefore could not be considered.

ROBERT OLSZEWSKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700